# In The Matter Of: IBERVILLE CNI REDEVELOPMENT MEETING

February 19, 2013

Associated Reporters Incorporated (225) 216-2036

We have our team here tonight, the purpose of this meeting is to review some of our environmental findings, environmental meaning in the broadest sense possible.

We have examined and we have our experts that we hired to do that for us, here tonight, to report on what they found out about historic properties, about the soil conditions, about a lot of different things, traffic studies and you'll hear about it in this meeting and we will have to brief you on it.

But it's an opportunity for us
to share and as we promise more
information for you as we get closer
and closer to starting redevelopment
on the Iberville site.

So, and that's really going to take up much time, I think everybody that I see here tonight has already been here before and knows what our plan is for what Choice

Neighborhoods is, what Iberville is,

what Treme is. And we will really go through a systematic detail presentation tonight.

So without much further ado, I'm going to turn it over to Joseph St.

Martin, who's the leader of our consulting group. And he's done a great job of gathering so many fascinating information ideas.

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

1.3

Good evening, everyone. I

personally thank everyone for coming

out tonight. Again, as Mr. Lawlor

pointed out, this is on our fifth,

actual public meeting with CNI.

This is our third meeting discussing

environmental issues relative to the

project.

Tonight we're going to be discussing some of the components leading up to Draft Environmental Assessment.

Our project partners for this project are HUD, the City of New Orleans, the Housing Authority of

New Orleans, and the Iberville
Revitalization Company. And this
team partnership is comprised of
making up in the entire
neighborhoods in this City.

We're going to have some ground rules for public comments, looks like a lot of you guys have been here before and I'm just going to reiterate that for those who are new tonight.

We're going to ask that you hold any of your questions til the end of the presentation. We will have enough time for you guys to provide questions and we'll provide answers to those questions.

We would request that and require that all speakers fill out a comment card, and if you aren't able to fill out a comment card, we do have staff available to assist in filling out those comment cards, if you need assistance with that.

We're going to ask that you

clearly state your name, first and last name, as well as we would like know what your address is and if you're a resident of the Iberville area or a business owner within the Iberville area.

And we're going to allow each speaker two minutes to make a comment. We do have a Court Reporter here tonight, so if you will clearly speak your name, first and last, and your address.

And we're going to also request that you be respectful and courteous to those individuals who do have comments, so we want to make sure that everybody has a chance to get a comment in.

Again, the purpose of tonight's meeting is to discuss the purpose and the need of the project. We're going to specifically go over and describe the environmental review process. There will be another team member that will come up later, in

the presentation, to give more detailed acclamation of the whole process.

We also want to go over the alternatives that will be developed over this process, and then we'll accept any comments after we've gone through that process.

This is revitalizing the presentation, we're going to discuss the alternatives that have been evaluated during the project. We're going to look at all the resources that were identified and have been evaluated.

We're going to also discuss what findings we had of those resources that were evaluated. We're also going to give you a status update of the NHPA Compliance and then again a question-and-answer period.

The purpose of the project is to one, rehabilitate public housing.

We want to make sure that it conforms to modern-day code

requirements. We want to look at the possibility of redistributing and configuration of the public housing, and also look at opportunity to rebuild.

This, what we have up here is an area of the project area map (indicating). And now I'm going turn the presentation over to our Environmental Consultant, Ms. Tracey Dodd with U.S. Risk Management.

### MS. DODD:

Thank you, can everybody hear me?

Essentially, this is our fifth NEPA meeting. Most of you have seen our presentation here and some of the graphics that we've presented, they've been up here on these slides, as well as on the board at the other two meetings.

What we want to talk about again is: What is the NEPA Process?

Essentially, NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act and it is

designed to -- for federal agencies to follow, so that they evaluate potential impacts of project activities.

These could be good impacts, bad impacts, direct or non-direct impacts. And essentially, it is a massive information gathering process, something that we've been doing for the last year.

We've been back and forth to the public through consulting parting meetings, through the NEPA Process and that's an important component of the data gathering. Talking to state and local Agencies about the project itself, and potential impacts that the state may consider are also important.

Previous studies: This is a well-studied area, there's been a lot of development adjacent to the area. So there's a lot of information out there that had to be part of this information gathering.

In addition, site-specific studies: What's going on on the CNI, Iberville Winn Dixie, RV sites?

Again, talking to permitting agencies, getting information from social services that are provided to the community, as well as talking to other community organizations requesting their input and getting their data, and opening the process to them. Is all part of this important information gathering process.

So essentially, what is an environmental assessment?
Essentially, the assessment identifies the environmental effects of a federal action. Really important the federal action here, is the money that's provided by HUD.

This is always conducted prior to making any type of decision.

It's a way to access impacts to come up with an agreement and impact forward on how you avoid, minimize

and mitigate potential impacts. And there are a number of things that we call resource areas that we need to study to look at those impacts.

Those things that we analyze include: air, water, waste, flood plain, traffic, noise, vibration, environmental justice, visual impacts, such as aesthetics.

These are all things that we go out and we gathering data on, we listen to the public about and we evaluate all the considerations of the project.

So essentially, now you know what an environmental assessment is.

You know where it falls under that

National Environmental Policy Act.

Where do we start? As we've said on two other meetings before, we start with an alternatives analysis. And that alternatives analysis looks at the decisions that we can make associated with this project.

One of them is: What if we do nothing, we take absolutely no action? Even taking no action has direct and indirect impacts, good and bad. We have to evaluate those.

Then the other alternative that we've looked at and considered here is: What if we take this site, this property, being the Iberville Winn Dixie, RV and we decide to rehabilitate every building on the property?

What are the effects that we're going to see of that? Those are things that we will study as part of this process.

And then the next one, which is the third alternative is: What if we completely demolish, we take down every building and we reconstruct from there, we rebuild from the ground up?

Again, we have to look at all the potential impacts, real, direct, indirect, good and bad.

And then the fourth one, which is the really important one, which is where we have been for over a year with the public, the consulting parties, is how do we integrate some of these other alternatives together, rehab and demolition so that they work together.

1.3

That we give proper consideration to historic value of these buildings, so that we preserve the historic community that this project is being undertaken in. And that we also make sure that we have good potential changes to the view scapes.

So essentially, four alternatives and then from those alternatives there are possible design scenarios, and considerations within those alternatives.

Andrew Baque' from Manning

Architects is going to talk to the entire group about what those alternatives are. And then we will

come back and talk to you about what
the agencies preferred alternative
is at this point, and what is the
considerations, the impacts that we

#### MR. BAQUE':

Thank you, Tracey. My name is
Andrew Baque', I'm with the Manning
Architects and I'm part of the
master planning team for the
project. And I will again, as Tracy
indicated, go through the four
strategies for the alternatives.

see on that preferred alternative.

As she indicated the first strategy is status quo, do nothing. The second one is rehab. The third is, start from scratch, tearing them down and start over. And the four strategy is trying to balance rehab with new construction.

Then I'll show you the first three images represent the first three strategies.

The next eight images are part of the fourth strategy, okay, which

will come into the preferred alternative, which is Alternative 11.

And this alternative here

(indicating), this is the status quo
and that's leaving the site as it
is, and there are 821 units on the
site. One of the challenges would
be this development, and it
associated with the objectives that
I've been stating so far is that the
project in itself is isolated within
the rest of the community.

One of the goals is to try to reintegrate it into the community by restoring the street grid and trying to prevent not only isolation of this from the rest of the City, but isolation of individual pockets within the development from the neighborhood itself.

So in terms of the objectives and this being submitted, you know, it has 821 units, it doesn't quite cheapen the diversity and have them

all, many of the other objectives that the CNI application calls for.

The second alternative looks exactly like the first, except that we're renovating the interior of the buildings and doing so, what we ended up doing is losing, losing about 250 housing units in doing that. And part of the reason that happens is that the interior of the buildings are brought up to code.

So if there's a lost of unit count, we don't achieve in unit mix-up. The unit count that we we're striving for are somewhere around 900 units, is what we included in our CNI 2 application.

The plan also keeps the physical environment as it is. So again, the objective of trying to restore the street grid, tie this neighborhood into the surrounding neighborhood is not meant with this alternative.

The third alternative achieves many of the objectives of the CNI

application and the community
making, and that it offers them the
reconstruction of development of the
street grid, it turns and has
residential units funding streets,
creating well-defined public space,
well-defined private space in the
course the building, or parking,
what have you. It exists.

1.3

The challenge with this one is that there is no preservation.

There is no existing buildings in the Parish of Orleans and we see this as a challenge.

The fourth strategy is represented initially with Alternative 4 and this is the alternative that's included in the CNI application, and what I'll do is I'll thumb through them until the preferred alternative.

And in this case the Alternative
4 looks at balancing, trying to
achieve the objectives of the
community making, while balancing

the goal of preservation with new construction in marketing units.

So Alternative 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and this is the preferred alternative. We find ourselves in Alternative 11, as an influence by primarily the preservation strategy, which in this case we have 14 buildings that remain at the core of the development, as the result of restoring the street grid.

The second, is because of the archaeological findings that you see in this area right here (indicating). This is Basin Street right here and along this lot here, on St. Louis.

And this strategy, the area of the cemetery is preserved as green space, with the exception of two non-residential buildings that would be located in these locations here where this would be -- could be a community center, perhaps.

And one of the, again, existing

buildings reserved here, that could perhaps represent some central cultural resources, a museum, perhaps, associated with St. Louis Cemetery Number 1.

So this is the alternative that we belief balances all the objectives of community making, the objectives required for the CNI application and achieves the goal of balancing preservation with a new construction. Tracey?

# MS. DODD:

Okay, so here we are. We have been back and forth to the community, we have taken public comments over that period of time, both as part of the NEPA process, as part of the historic preservation review process.

We've described the project to
the public, we've opened up dialog
with the public, we've talked about
what NEPA is and what an
environmental assessment is. And

what we are required to do is part of that environmental assessment.

We've gone through all of the alternatives that we've considered and we have selected a preferred alternative that we have at this point in time.

So then the question becomes is:

As we go forward and evaluate and study these issues further, let's look at all of the impacts associated with all the alternatives, but let's also for the purpose of what we're doing here, focus on the impacts, positive and negative, short-term and long-term, direct and indirect associated with Alternative 11.

And essentially, what we do is we look at some of those areas that we've talked about earlier that are really important to communities:

Aesthetics, light and glare, wind, air quality, consistency with coastal zone planning, flood plain

and storm water management, solid and hazardous wastes,

infrastructure, noise and vibration,
transportation, and then in
compliance with that National
Historic Preservation Act.

So let's just start from the top. Aesthetics; what is the look, what is the feel, associated with the project, the alternatives that we're considering, the preferred alternative?

In this case the preferred alternative, Alternative Number 11 is what we see is an overall increase in green space. Both overall, as well as concentrated green space in preferred Alternative Number 11.

Buildings will front public right-of-ways, which is a change from how things are currently. There'll be additional sidewalks to increase pedestrian movement in and around the development.

There'll also be a tree

preservation program to try and keep

as many native trees as possible, as

well as increased landscaping, using

5 more native species for

1.3

sustainability purposes.

And as for as this alternative, to encourage access to the Lafitte Greenway. So these are some of the impacts that we have looked at with this preferred alternative.

One of the other things that are really important throughout this process, we've talked to you about issues of security and trying to make this community, this area, safe and secure. And one of those significant components is lighting and then glare.

So essentially, one of the things that we looked at in here, while we where looking at these impacts are: What would happen as a result of this preferred

25 alternative?

We're talking about increasing street and pedestrian lighting, as well as the additional use of accent lighting, likely associated with landscaping.

There's going to be more even distribution of lighting across the area than there is now. This picks up as one of those key components that Andrew Baque's been stressing over the year, which is the importance of maintaining security of the neighborhood.

And all of this would be done within the purvey of compliance city and lighting ordinances. So that's what we're looking at with regards to lighting.

Impacts associated with this preferred alternative for air quality are really associated with construction activity.

There are temporary impacts in nature, we all know that when we renovate something or when we

demolish something, we create dust, we have heavy vehicle equipments that have certain types of exhaust, that all can have an impact to air quality.

These are short-term, they're associated with the life cycle of the undertaking of the project itself. But then also mitigation measures that we can come up with, things that we can do to control dust, to eliminate dust or at less to cut down on them, or keep them directly onto the site.

All of these are part of the analysis of this preferred alternative. Other things that are really important to the community that you considered here are: Storm water and flood plain management.

Essentially, looking at the proposed action of this preferred alternative, we look at those impacts and what we see is; there's really designed here to be

inconsiderable improvement on site drainage, to maintain water within the site, to add additional storm drains to the site, holding more storm water actually on the site, instead of off into other surrounding streets.

1.3

Part of the project here is designed so that there'll be compliance with the federal storm water requirements, making sure that dust stay on site, that there's no erosion while construction is ongoing.

Utilization of what we call best management practices, to make sure that during construction things do not go into the storm water and released into the drain, and out to Lake Pontchartrain.

And the other consideration here is raising the building elevations to comply with basic flood requirements. That's a potential impact associated with the preferred

alternative.

Another consideration of solid and hazardous wastes. One of the most critical issues that have to be looked at first and is an obvious impact to us with these buildings is that we're going to have to remove and dispose of solid and hazardous wastes.

Those things are associated with construction debris coming out of a building for renovation. Those things are associated with demolishing a building are all part of that.

One of things that we have done here in information gathering is site specific studies in this area. We know that there's some contaminated soil in this area, we know that it has to be removed.

That's one of the impacts
associated with this preferred
alternative. In addition to that
because of the date of construction

of these properties, various lead based paint, there's asbestos containing materials.

1.3

All of those things have to be evaluated and looked at and they have to managed. There has to be a management plan for how to handle those wastes, how to handle the debris and to make sure that none of these materials are transported directly through neighborhoods.

The next thing that we've looked at and it's been a topic of numerous discussions is noise and vibration.

Really important significant considerations with some significant potential impacts that have to be studied.

There are obvious temporary impacts associated with construction activities that have to be evaluated and considered and mitigated.

There are vibration issues with regards to work on the property itself. There are vibration issues

concerning current condition on this site. As you well know this site is binding by some significant streets, by I-10 and there's already significant concentrations of baseline noise in this area well studied.

Some of the other considerations are that because of that baseline noise and because of the -- excuse me, baseline vibration and because of the baseline noise. You're going to have to factor in, what am I going to do to make sure that I don't do any damage, that I don't create more noise that is more difficult for those communities around.

One of those things is to work with a vibration monitoring plan.

So that's something that has to be looked at as an end result of studying vibration.

One of the other considerations that we have to look here is

transportation. One of the impacts is clearly the reduction of the burden on the existing street network because we are changing and restoring the street grid back to where it was.

1.3

This will provide better access for emergency vehicles into the community and from the community, and out into the CBD.

Another positive improvement here is this will provide better access to plan the planned Rampart Street Car Line.

Also again, we said there will be minimal impacts to transportation for construction, but overall as a result of the project, there's going to be no increase burden on transportation as a result of this proposed undertaking for preferred Alternative 11.

Which brings us to the last thing that we've done an awful lot of public consultation with

throughout the last 12 to 14 months. What is the status of the National Historical Preservation Act Compliance, which is a component under the environmental assessment.

To bring you through and many of you have been at these meetings, past meetings, been at these consulting party meetings. We have initiated the Section 106 consulting process, which is required under that National Historic Preservation Act.

We've expanded the area potential effect for future phases, if and when they become real, and if and when they occur. We have identified historic properties. We have evaluated potential adverse effects.

We have tried to work with the consultant parties to reach some resolution on those potential adverse effects.

Those types of things that we've

done have been open consultation over the last 12 months or so. And have resulted in a draft and heading towards the finalization of what we call "programmatic agreement", which is basically a contract that discusses how we are going to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act.

1.3

And there are things within that programmatic agreement that are being agreed to, that are either completed, meaning we've identified them, we've used professional people in accordance with the requirements to go out and evaluate conditions such as archaeology.

There are components that will be ongoing such as; more archaeological investigations as the work goes on.

But then there are also future stipulations with regard to the evaluation of historic buildings, we'll recordation of those as the

project goes on, as well as utilization of the vibration monitoring plan, as I mention earlier, to control those vibration impacts.

Those are the types of things
that we have seen that are impacts
under the National Historic
Preservation Act. And there is a
plan moving forward to address those
and to mitigate those.

Which brings us right back to Joseph.

# MR. ST. MARTIN:

Thank you again, Tracey. As you can see the City handled and our consultant team have been working very hard over the last year and a half to get all of this information and presented back to you; the public.

Again, tonight is our third meeting and we want to give you guys another opportunity to provide public comment and input on what your

thoughts and understanding of the project is.

So again, I'm going to go over
the rules for -- ground rules for the
public speaking. We do require that
everyone fill out a comment card and
if you aren't able and need
assistance to fill out one, we do
have staff that's available.

You should have received a comment card from when you first came in. You don't necessarily have to speak when you fill out a comment card, we will accept those even without you coming to the podium to speak.

We're going to ask that you -when you do come up that you clearly
state your first and last name, and
what your address is.

We would also like to know if your actually a resident or a community or business owner in the Iberville or Treme area. We'd also like to know that as well.

We're going to give you two minutes to ask any questions or comment, we will be timing it. So once that two-minute period is up, I will notify you and if you want to take the option of an additional 30 seconds to close your comment period out, we more than welcome you to do that.

And we're going to request that your comment period does not pass on to any other individual, just out of respect of giving everyone a chance to come up and actually give a comment or speak.

So at this time we like to offer anyone if they want to come up to make a comment or suggestion, or any feedback of what's happened so for today. And we have a podium setup.

#### MR. THEOPHILE:

Good evening. I would like to welcome everyone for joining us also. I just wanted to know, was curious about every presentation, every

section of the presentation, about impacts and what exactly is it? What do you mean by "impact"?

So I just wanted to know if that's just the overall outcome?
That's confoundedly, we'll finally call go through what, you know, as in the process of what you exactly mean by "impact".

# MR. ST. MARTIN:

Okay. And if you don't mine, can I get your name?

# MR. THEOPHILE:

Oh, yeah. I'm Raymond Theophile and I reside at 1425 Conti in the Iberville.

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

Okay. Thank you, sir. Basically when we talk about impacts, there's a host of different things that we had to look at. And when we looked at a preferred alternative and we wanted to look at how those impacts would effect the residents, and the business owners of the surrounding

36 1 area. 2 So basically, there's tons of 3 different evaluations as we looked at 4 the impacts of what will actually 5 happen if that preferred alternative 6 is actually done. 7 MR. THEOPHILE: 8 Okay. Thank you, I'd just love 9 to know what exactly what it was, the definition of "impact", cause I'm a 10 11 college graduate, associate's degree, 12 14 years ago and that just kind of 13 had me thrown a little. 14 So I'm cleared up a lil' more now 15 a little better. MR. ST. MARTIN: 16 You're welcomed. 17 18 MR. THEOPHILE: 19 Okay. You're welcomed. 20 THE COURT REPORTER: 21 Could you spell your last name, 22 please?

T-H-E-O-P-H-I-L-E.

23

24

25

MR. THEOPHILE:

MR. ST. MARTIN:

Thank you, sir.

## THE COURT REPORTER:

Thank you.

#### MS. GOINS:

Good afternoon. My name is Glenda Goins, I reside at 348 North Robertson, Apartment C.

I listen to everyone talk about the meetings you had. This is the first time I heard of a meeting and I figured by me being a resident, I should have know about a meeting.

I never got a notice or anything about it and I'm glad that we have a nice outcome about the meeting, but I don't see too many residents.

First of all, I stay next door to a lady that's in her late 70's, she cannot walk over here. So it's not convenient for all the residents to be at this meeting and I think it's unfair that a lot of us didn't know about the meeting until the last minute.

MR. ST. MARTIN:

Thank you, ma'am. And we've worked with the residence to get word out to the community. We actually had residents pass flyers out in the development for all three meetings that we had.

In addition, we had a community group to actually go out as well, so we worked aggressively to try and do as much community outreach as possible.

Again, we will continue to do as much as we can, but we want to make sure that we have -- and I don't know if there's any members of the Iberville working team that are here today, but, you know, we have some here.

But, you know, we -- and I apologize if you hadn't directly gotten the information, but we have made an effort to canvas it and tag every single door.

#### MS. GOINS:

Well, mine's wasn't tagged [sic].

		39
1		I got this from a word of mouth.
2		It's inconvenient for the residents
3		to come over here.
4		So I just wanted to know why it
5		was so of a big problem that you
6		couldn't have in the site, for
7		majority of the residents that can't
8		attend this meeting?
9	MR.	ST. MARTIN:
10		Okay.
11	MS.	GOINS:
12		Okay. Thank you.
13	MR.	ST. MARTIN:
14		You're welcomed.
15	MS.	DAVIS:
16		Hi, I'm Wanda Davis. On
17		yesterday I, Ms. Kim, and the
18		gentleman we call, "Bam", but his
19		grandmother, Ms. Teresa, passed
20		flyers out. On Sunday evening after
21		4:30, there was some different flyers
22		that came out about Iberville.
23		I can personally say the three of
24		us, on yesterday, put flyers in every
25		mailbox there is in Iberville. And

40 this is not the first time cause the 1 2 meeting we had before this one, it 3 was word of mouth. MS. GOINS: 4 5 No. I get off at 5:30. I got 6 off early to make it to this meeting. 7 My neighbor said she could not come 8 because she wasn't feeling good. 9 She's in her 70's. And I've heard five meetings have 10 11 attend, you had a function and 12 everything about -- No, I did not get 13 that. 14 MS. DAVIS: 15 The flyers for the ground, St. 16 Martin, I personally; Ms. Kim type 17 them. MS. GOINS: 18 19 I understand that. I understand 20 what you're saying. MR. ST. MARTIN: 21 22 Okay. Again, ma'am -- ma'am what

### MS. GOINS:

we'll do --

23

24

25

Me, as a resident, I did not

receive one. And I don't see too may 1 2 residents out here. And I --PUBLIC COMMENT: 3 4 If you don't see them, it's 5 because they didn't want to come. MS. DAVIS: 6 7 The results were when we put the 8 flyers out yesterday, this is the 9 same meeting that we've been having 10 and nothing has changed. 11 MR. ST. MARTIN: 12 And we want to --13 MS. GOINS: 14 And you know that I am a resident 15 and I'm at every meeting. MR. ST. MARTIN: 16 17 Ma'am, --18 MS. GOINS: 19 And I did not receive one. 20 That's all I was saying. It's no 21 problem, I have no problem. 22 decision is made and I'm looking

not what I'm doing.

forward for it. It looks like it's

going to be a beautiful plan. That's

23

24

25

I'm not talking negative about 1 2 it. I'm just saying the residents 3 did not get a flyer, which is me, I 4 can speak for myself. 5 MR. ST. MARTIN:

Okay.

#### MS. GOINS:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did not receive a flyer. he said you had five meetings and this is the first one I attend.

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

Thank you, ma'am. We are going to tape the next time when we get to the two-minutes. Ma'am, I'll personally come and speak with you after the meeting. Thank you.

#### MS. AUSTIN:

Cheryl Austin, I'm with Greater Treme Consultant. I'm a historic resident and I also represent a neighborhood group.

My questions is: For the preferred Alternative Number 11, the two buildings that are going to remain, who will own those buildings?

43 Will HANO own the buildings and what 1 2 would they be used for? Will they be 3 used as in Economic Development 4 means? Recreation? 5 What's going to actually be 6 housed in those buildings? And will 7 they be available for the community 8 people, not so much for the 9 preservationist that may setup their own lil' tourist? 10 11 MR. ST. MARTIN: 12 Okay. Thank you, ma'am. If you 13 can put your comment card in the box 14 if you filled one out. 15 MS. AUSTIN: 16 I don't have a comment card. 17 MR. ST. MARTIN: Okay. Well, we will provide that 18 19 to you. 20 MR. BAQUE': 21 The ownership of this project 22 is the state's process, it's not 23 clear as to determine the ownership 24 or its uses. 25 This building down here on

Basin Street (indicating), the plan at this point is to consider that as a non-residential building, that would be -- could be used for a museum, some sort of a cultural center. Perhaps related to St.

Louis Cemetery Number 1, perhaps the Cemetery of New Orleans.

The other building that Joseph is pointing to now is the existing administration building for a private building at the location, consideration is perhaps, we use that as a community center because of its association with the green space all around it.

#### MS. AUSTIN:

Who's going to own the building? The one on Basin and will the residents or former residents of the Iberville have stake or say in it? That's what I want to know.

# MR. BAQUE':

A say in who owns it?

# MS. AUSTIN: 1 2 Yeah, if it becomes a museum, who will own it, if it becomes a 3 4 museum? And my last question is: 5 How many total units will now 6 occupy that space? Cause you have 7 increased the green space. 8 Originally, it was 821 and I think 9 a couple of years ago it was like, 900. 10 11 MS. MERRILL: 12 Yeah, it was 900. 13 MS. AUSTIN: 14 So what is the final number as 15 we see the drawing now? MS. MERRILL: 16 17 It is 880. Is that the number? 18 I can't see the number. 19 MR. BAQUE': 20 Yeah. That's right. 21 MS. MERRILL: 22 880, but we are planning on 23 still maintaining 304 for 24 replacement units on site. So the 25 304 as been the same number and

that's public housing, so there'll be 304 public housing units on site and we've kept that number the same, even with the loss of building space giving it more green space.

We've kept that 304 number the same. So the overall number has dropped slightly, but the public housing units are going to stay the same.

#### MS. AUSTIN:

Okay. So it's 340 from 821?

# MS. MERRILL:

304.

# MS. AUSTIN:

asking that is because economic development in this neighborhood, "Treme/Iberville", is very important and residents are giving up a lot. You-all have not meant all of the resistance that you could have gotten because this coming down, the development.

Even if those preservationist groups are to somehow start or becoming control of that building, I think, well that's what I'm trying -- that's why I'm asking. I want to know on Record, who's going to own the building and benefit from it?

#### MS. MERRILL:

To answer, who's going to own it is silently complicated only for one reason. Because that depends on where the financing comes from, but it's always contemplated to either be HANO or the developer, it just depends on the financing mechanism.

But, HANO will also have the final say over what happens in both of those buildings. It's not going to be controlled by any outside entity, it will always be an ultimate decision.

### MS. AUSTIN:

So it would either be HANO or

HRI?

2 MS. MERRILL:

Will own it.

MS. AUSTIN:

Will, own it.

MS. MERRILL:

But, like I said, HANO will always make the final decision about what goes on at both of those places. Yes, but it won't be owned by the counsel.

#### MS. MORRIS:

My name is Shirley Mitchell

Morris. I've been there before, I

know what they did us in the St.

Bernard, so, you know, I don't know how to trust things.

Now, I want to know if the seniors will be able come back on the old site? I was told they want to throw them by Winn Dixie and they want to put them in a new building out where the Texaco building is at.

# MR. ST. MARTIN:

Okay. Ms. Merrill is going to answer that.

# MS. MERRILL:

For the seniors they will be moving on site with the Iberville, the 304 replacement units is that we've created a new occupancy plan that provides seniority or preference, the people who've lived at Iberville the longest.

So if your move-in date back was, you know, 1975 and someone else on the waiting list was 1984, the person who's been there from '75 will go and would be above them on the waiting list.

So that means a lot of times the seniors would actually be the higher priority because they have often been at the development longer.

They are other options for seniors off-site, the Texaco buildings is one option for seniors, but it's not a requirement

that seniors live there, it's just an option.

We would hope to also create a few additional options in the surrounding neighborhood for seniors, but all of this would be choices.

Now, the other important thing about all of these units that we're creating on-site and off-site is that, current Iberville residents have first priority in all of this.

So, for instance, the Texaco, when it's complete and they open it up for people to come rent, anybody who's at Iberville now has first priority before they can open it up to anybody else. And that's true on-site and that's true with all the off-site units.

So there is always the right and return and first priority for the current Iberville residents.

#### MS. MORRIS:

Okay. My concern is I, you

know, I'm planning on coming back to the original site.

## MS. MERRILL:

Right. Okay.

#### MS. MORRIS:

But, like I said, I know what they'll do, they'll say one thing and when time comes, it would be something else. That's why I don't trust man. I put my trust in the Lord and he have my back.

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

Are there any other questions or comments from the public?

Again, if you can state your first and last name, and your address.

Thank you.

#### MR. HUGHS:

Hi, I'm Lane Hughs. I live at 1926 St. Louis. I just got here late. I heard that it was touched on before, but my concern is the trees in Iberville. If you notice that they cut up -- cut out about 160 large Oak trees out of Lafitte

and they cut the rest of them down to barely anything.

I'm wondering if you could -you-all could reiterate your policy
for cutting the large Oaks out of
Iberville?

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

Yes, we have an answer for you.

## MR. BAQUE':

1.3

Our goal is to preserve as many trees as possible. One of the consultants that will be brought on board is the trees loggers. He's going to look at the trees, the existing trees and determine which ones can be preserved. And then there will be a construction plan created in order to make sure that the trees that are healthy enough to survive the process can be preserved.

And certainly the goal is to preserve as many of those old trees as possible.

Merrill pointing out that as we

look at Alternative 11, one of our strategies is to even adjust and modify building and parking locations in such a way to preserve the trees, so that open space is, you know, would be defined around where these Oak trees are.

So we share your concern and we'll make every attempt to preserve as many trees as possible.

#### MR. ST. MARTIN:

Okay. If we don't have any other public speakers I do want to reiterate that -- Oh, we have one. Okay.

# MS. WIGGINS:

Good evening, my name is

Cynthia Wiggins, with the Citywide

Tenant Association. I know we're

here talking about the

environmental component of this

redevelopment.

But I wanted to put it in the Record that the Citywide Tenant Association had some concerns, it

is to primarily directed to the housing authority in the City.

1.3

With respect to the over-income families, that we've been not able to get any information on. As to the housing authority and the developers are going to through with those families. If in fact, they've researched and identified units that's going to be made available to them, it could either be a housing units or units within the criteria.

We have -- our understanding that a relocation plan had been developed; however, we have not had total access or the document that we review, does not address the over-income families.

It is also our understanding from some of the residents that we've been speaking with, that they were being offered units whereas 80 percent of the income requirement, which would only allow some of

them, based on their income, to be in the program for maybe six months, and after that their going to be avoided off. Which could possibly put these families at risk if they become homeless.

So there is some serious concerns that we have, that have not be addressed, nobody has not being able to provide us with any numbers, especially with the amount of families or the number of families that are actually overincome in those sites.

The last number that we got, initially it was 3, it went from 3 to 12, from 12 to 39. So we want to know what that number is? How many families actually live in Iberville that is over the income requirement for the Section 8 Program and the Section 8 Public Housing Tax Credit Program?

### MR. ST. MARTIN:

1.3

Thank you, Ms. Williams.

#### MS. KENNEDY:

Hi, my name is Ms. April

Kennedy, I'm the Program Manager

for the CNI project and wanted to

speak to Ms. Williams' question.

At this point today is when I found

out that the first phase of

relocation we have approximately

201 families that will be moving.

And at this point, we have done an assessment on 186 of those families as far as being ineligible for the tenant protection vouchers.

There are some folks that still need to be issued the voucher, but the number that I was getting today are two families, that are at this point. We're not talking about the second phase of this, just the first phase of this. There are two families that will not be eligible for the tenant protection vouchers.

And when I say, "not eligible", it basically means, based on their income, they are not going to get

at lease a dollar worth of subsidy.

So unlike the housing choice voucher program, it's not at 80 percent. It's basically, looking at their eligibility and looking at their income to see if they need a dollar worth of subsidy. If they get a dollar worth of subsidy, we have to issue that voucher.

I'm sorry, she's going to have to -- you have to come up to the mic and ask the question, then I'll try to answer it or we'll get the answer for you.

#### MS. WHITFIELD:

I was just asking like meaning what? Because from what I heard here today, we have like two groups of people. We have some that are clever and trying to solve the problem, and then we also have some that seem to just, you know, forget about it.

So I just wanted to know basically, what does this mean,

they wont get anyone's help, to come and help the over-income people in Iberville?

#### MS. KENNEDY:

1.3

Well, they will get help cause
Ms. Williams --

#### MS. WHITFIELD:

You just stated that they wasn't.

# MS. KENNEDY:

No. They wont get the tenant protection voucher. They wont get the voucher because they don't qualify for the voucher, based on the fact that based on their income, they are not getting at lease a dollar worth of subsidy.

And someone just asked a minute ago, what are those income guidelines, and I don't have those here with me, but they are the standing HUD payment standards, based off of the bedroom size and your income eligibility.

# MS. WHITFIELD:

But perhaps they just can't all 1 2 be standards because some people 3 have different situations. And you 4 guys are not -- you just like, just passing over that, you know? These 5 6 people don't have, maybe -- you 7 keep saying "the standards", standards for what? 8 9 MS. KENNEDY: 10 The payment standards that are 11 set by HUD, based off of the amount 12 of money that you can make, based 13 off of that particular manual finances. 14 15 MS. WHITFIELD: 16 Okay. Based by HUD, but these 17 are humans. 18 MS. KENNEDY: 19 Right. 20 MS. WHITFIELD: You should have had some sort 21 22 of preparations for them. 23 MS. KENNEDY: 24 Well, what if we -- Excuse me. 25 Oh, yeah, so I'm sorry.

#### MS. WHITFIELD:

You-all just get it together because I just asked a question. I don't need everybody to pass it around. Thank you.

## MS. MERRILL:

No. But that is a good question that you asked, but obviously, our commitment for new location in Iberville is to help every single resident at Iberville to relocate, whether or not they qualify for a Section 8 voucher or not.

So we are helping those tenants to identify units. Those tenants that are over-income, we have to catagorize some solutions and basically include additional subsidy to help them pay the difference in their rent when they move offsite.

But we are looking at those solutions and we'll get you the numbers, cause I don't know the

numbers.

### MS. WHITFIELD:

And that sounds good, but I don't believe that. And I know that you guys have been trying to find them public housing units on the site.

But have you reached out to the private properties that permanent owners have a site not far from the St. Bernard, Riverguard, those properties cause we looking at those public housing units.

#### MS. MERRILL:

Yes. Yes, we are. Especially, the ones that are just 80 percent and don't have a tax credit to associate.

Yes, we will reaching out to those, there's not a lot people.

# MR. ST. MARTIN:

Yes ma'am. If you can come up to the mic and state your name.

#### MS. MATTHEWS:

Good evening. My name is

Regina Matthews and I live at 1403 1 2 Conti. My questions is: I know I'm 3 in that category where the income 4 is two much. But what I want to know; you-all giving out vouchers, 5 6 so when I person like me, why can't 7 I be offered a public housing unit, if there's one available for me? 8 9 MR. ST. MARTIN: 10 Okay, Ms. Merrill? 11 MS. MERRILL: 12 You can. And, Ms. Regina, I'm 13 sorry, we can definitely look at 14 that, absolutely we can. That is 15 one option for people that are 16 over-income cause, you know, as we've said, even if your over 17 18 income, you can still transfer to 19 another public housing unit. 20 MS. MATTHEWS: 21 Okay. 22 MS. MERRILL: 23 We believe in those units. MS. MATTHEWS: 24 25 I understand that. Okay, but

I'm not in that section right now. 1 2 In that phase that's moving, so 3 when you-all move all the other 4 people, they may not have a public 5 housing unit available for me, so then what? 6 MS. MERRILL: 7 Well, no, they will continue to 8 9 look, because the inventory for 10 public housing changes on a monthly 11 basis for people that are moving 12 out of apartments. So we will 13 continue to look at that inventory 14 for people because we're doing the 15 location for people right now, so. MS. MATTHEWS: 16 17 Yeah. I know. 18 MS. MERRILL: We're able to look across all 19 20 of our properties for those units. 21 MS. MATTHEWS: 22 Okay. 23 MR. ST. MARTIN: 24 Do we have anymore public 25 comments or questions?

The slide that I have up right now, again, if you didn't have -- didn't speak tonight, we will be taking public comment forms, as well as you can submit your comments by mail to the City of New Orleans at this address, Office of Community Development, 1340 Poydras Street, the 10<sup>th</sup> floor. Attention to Shirley Smith.

And also if you have internet access, you can post your comment at the CNI website at www.cnineworleans.org.

And what I do want to stress, as well as that the Draft

Environmental Assessment report that we spoke about tonight, that will be available for download and available for public view.

You we will have until March 8<sup>th</sup> to make public comments and again, those comments can be sent either by mail or electronically to the website.

## REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Tara Torres-Blank, Certified

Court Reporter, in and for the State of

Louisiana, the officer, as defined in Rule

28 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

and/or Article 1434(b) of the Louisiana

Code of Civil Procedure, before whom this

sworn testimony was taken, do hereby state

on the Record:

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method for a Court Reporter's transcription of proceeding, and that the dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript;

That any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)."

Tara Torres-Blank, CCR Certified Court Reporter

# <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I, Tara Torres-Blank, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify that after having first been duly sworn by me upon authority of R.S. 37:2554, did testify as hereinbefore set forth in the foregoing pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in the Stenomask method (voice-writing), was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board;

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein; am not otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter; and am a valid member in good standing of the Louisiana State Board of Examiners of Certified Shorthand Reporters.

Tara Torres-Blank (#22012) Certified Court Reporter

1.3